

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME, CE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,717		09/30/2003	Paul Blair	20375-042000	20375-042000 3445	
20350	7590	03/04/2005		EXAMINER		
		D TOWNSEND A	REAGAN, JAMES A			
EIGHTH F		DERO CENTER		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN FRA	NCISCO	, CA 94111-3834		3621		
				DATE MAILED: 03/04/200:	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4:00				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office	Action Summan	10/676,717	BLAIR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	4
The MAII	ING DATE of this communication ap	James A. Reagan	3621	
Period for Reply	ING DATE OF this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet wit	n the correspondence addres	SS
THE MAILING C - Extensions of time n after SIX (6) MONT! - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply with Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. 47 Sfrom the mailing date of this communication. by specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a regret is specified above, the maximum statutory period on the set or extended period for reply will, by statut by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a re bly within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (HS from the mailing date of this communications of the communications of	inication.
Status				
2a)☐ This action 3)☐ Since this	ye to communication(s) filed on <u>30 s</u> n is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi application is in condition for allowa accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matte		erits is
Disposition of Clai	ms			
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) _	-21 is/are pending in the application above claim(s) is/are withdra is/are allowed21 is/are rejected is/are objected to are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers	;			
10)☐ The drawir Applicant n Replaceme	ication is objected to by the Examinag(s) filed on is/are: a) across any not request that any objection to the ent drawing sheet(s) including the correct relation is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand otion is required if the drawing(s)	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	` '
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowled a) All b) Cen 2. Cen 3. Cop	gment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of: tified copies of the priority document t	nts have been received. Its have been received in Apportty documents have been received in the control of the	oplication No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Reference	es Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsper Information Disclos Paper No(s)/Mail D	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 bate	Paper No(s))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152 	2) ·

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- 1. This action is in response to the application filed on 30 September 2003.
- 2. Claims 1-21 have been examined.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The Information Disclosure Statement filed on 05 January 2004 has been considered. An initialed copy of the Form 1449 is enclosed herewith.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requires of this title.

- 5. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to nonstatutory subject matter. The basis of this rejection is set forth in a two-prong test of:
 - (1) whether the invention is within the technological arts; and
 - (2) whether the invention produces a useful, concrete, and tangible result.

For a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must be within the technological arts. Mere ideas in the abstract (i.e., abstract idea, law of nature, natural

phenomena) that do not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts fail to promote the "progress of science and the useful arts" (i.e., the physical sciences as opposed to social sciences, for example) and therefore are found to be non-statutory subject matter. For a process claim to pass muster, the recited process must somehow apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts.

In the present case, the recited steps of merely receiving, associating, sending, etc., does not apply, involve, use, or advance the technological arts since all of the recited steps can be performed without the use of any technological apparatus, system or method such as, for example, a computer system, database, electronic circuit, or software application. These steps only constitute a method that is easily attainable without the use of any state-of-the-art devices or techniques.

Additionally, for a claimed invention to be statutory, the claimed invention must produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result. ["Usefulness" may be evidenced by, but not limited to, a specific utility of the claimed invention. "Concreteness" may be evidenced by, but not limited to, repeatability and/or implementation without undue experimentation. "Tangibility" may be evidenced by, but not limited to, a real or actual effect.]

In the present case, the steps of claims 1 and 13 do not produce and results at all.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3621

7. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kosiba et al. (US

6,098,052 A) in view of Piumelli et al. "Power Collecting" (c) 1998.

Examiner's Note: The Examiner has pointed out particular references contained in the prior art

of record within the body of this action for the convenience of the Applicant. Although the

specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific

limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply. Applicant, in

preparing the response, should consider fully the entire reference as potentially teaching all or

part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or

disclosed by the Examiner.

Claims 1, 13, and 17:

Kosiba, in at least the abstract and column 1, line 15 to column 2, line 8, as well as other

associated and relevant text throughout the reference, discloses the collection process for

collecting past due payments. Piumelli, in at least chapter three as well as other associated and

relevant text throughout the reference also discloses a collection system. The combination of

Kosiba/Piumelli, therefore, discloses the following limitations:

receiving a promise-to-pay record from a lender;

receiving a payment from the consumer;

associating the payment with the promise-to-pay record; and

sending a notice to the lender, the notice comprising an indicator that the

payment has been received;

receiving a promise-to-pay from the customer;

creating a promise-to-pay record, the promise-to-pay record including a payment

amount and an expiration;

Application/Control Number:

10/676,717

Art Unit: 3621

transmitting the promise-to-pay record to a payment service provider, the

payment service provider adapted to accept a cash payment from the customer if

Page 5

the customer attempts payment prior to the expiration; and

receiving a notice of payment from the payment service provider if the payment

service provider received from the customer a payment that equals or exceeds

the payment amount.

the customer comprises a borrower, and the promise-to-pay comprises a

promise to pay a delinquent amount owed by the borrower;

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to

combine Kosiba/Piumelli because each discloses collection techniques and strategies.

The combination of Kosiba/Piumelli does not specifically disclose an expiration.

However, it is long-standing and recognized in the collection arts that each promise to pay also

includes a date in which the payment is to be received, thereby encouraging debtors to pay as

quickly as possible. Without further tarnishing their credit or incurring further interviews with the

debt collection authority.

Claim 2:

With regard to the limitation of storing a record of the payment in a database, Kosiba

discloses a database in at least Figure 1b and associated text.

Claims 3 and 16:

The combination of Kosiba/Piumelli discloses the collection system as shown in the

rejections above. Kosiba/Piumelli do not disclose:

electronically sending at least a portion of the payment to the lender

electronically receiving the payment amount from the payment service provider

10/676,717

Art Unit: 3621

However, the Examiner takes **Official Notice** that it is old and well known in the collection arts to electronically transfer money to a lender after the collection has been made. This practice provides incentive for the lender to pursue delinquent collection through a collection agency.

Claims 4, 5, 18, and 19:

The combination of Kosiba/Piumelli discloses the collection system as shown in the rejections above. Kosiba/Piumelli do not disclose:

- receiving the payment comprises receiving the payment if the consumer provides
 a transaction identifier;
- associating the payment with the promise-to-pay record comprises matching the consumer-provided transaction identifier with the promise-to-pay record
- creating a transaction identifier associated with the promise-to-pay record
- providing the transaction identifier to the customer

However, the Examiner takes **Official Notice** that it is old and well known in the collection arts to assign transaction identifiers to payments as well as conversations to ensure proper documentations and reduce errors during the collection process.

Claim 6:

With regard to the limitation of the promise-to-pay record comprises a time limit, and wherein associating the payment with the promise-to-pay record comprises accepting the payment if receiving the payment occurs within the time limit, see the rejections of claims 1 and 13 above.

10/676,717 Art Unit: 3621

Claims 7, 8, 20, and 21:

The combination of Kosiba/Piumelli discloses the collection system as shown in the rejections above. Kosiba/Piumelli do not disclose:

- sending a request for instructions to the lender if the time limit expires;
- sending a request for instructions to the lender if the consumer attempts payment
 after the time limit expires
- receiving a request for instructions from the payment service provider
- providing the payment service provider with an instruction in response to the
 request for instructions

However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known in the collection arts to request continuing guidance regarding delinquent accounts to ensure that the lender's requirements are met.

Claims 9-11:

The combination of Kosiba/Piumelli discloses the collection system as shown in the rejections above. Kosiba/Piumelli do not disclose:

- receiving the promise-to-pay record comprises electronically receiving the promise-to-pay record, and receiving the payment comprises physically receiving the payment;
- the payment comprises a cash payment;
- the payment comprises a debit card payment;

However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known in the communication arts to send email because it is fast and reliable. In addition, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is old and well known in the collection arts to accept various forms of payments because one may be more efficient than the other.

10/676,717 Art Unit: 3621

Claim 12:

The combination of Kosiba/Piumelli discloses the collection system as shown in the rejections above. Kosiba/Piumelli do not disclose comprising verifying a consumer identity prior to receiving the payment. However, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kosiba/Piumelli to include an identification step because this would ensure that payments to delinquent accounts are properly handled.

Claims 14 and 15:

The combination of Kosiba/Piumelli discloses the collection system as shown in the rejections above. Kosiba/Piumelli do not disclose:

- updating the promise-to-pay record with the notice of payment
- receiving a notice of non-effective payment from the payment service provider,
 and updating the promise-to-pay record with the notice of non-effective payment.

However, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Kosiba/Piumelli to include an update step because this would ensure that payments to delinquent accounts are properly handled.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **James A. Reagan** whose telephone number is **(703) 306-9131**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **James Trammell** can be reached at (703) 305-9768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-3900**. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687 [Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

(703) 308-1396 [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED"

Jan 1

or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

JAR

02 March 2005